

A D A D A D T DOCUMENTATIO	N PAGE	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
AD-A210 498 TIC	16. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	and Library
E WHILE	3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT	
26. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 1989	Approved for public rele Distribution unlimited	ase ;
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NU	MBER(S)
	EOARB - TR - 89 = 1 0	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL C.N.R.S LABORATOIRE DE PHY- (If applicable) SIQUE MOLECULAIRE & ATMOSPHERIQUE	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION European Office of Aerospac Development	ce Research and
6c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Tour 13, Université P. & M. Curie	7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 223/231 Old Mary Lebone Rd	
4, Place Jussieu 75252 PARIS CEDEX 05	London NW1 5TH UK	
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION European Office of Aerospace Research & Development 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) LDG	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION AFOSR 87-0296	ON NUMBER
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
223/231 Old Marylebone Rd LONDON NW1 5TH UK	PROGRAM PROJECT TASK NO. 61102F 2301 D	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. 008
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)		000
INTENSITY MEASUREMENTS OF INFRARED CARE	BON DIOXIDE BANDS	
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V. DANA		
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED FINAL FROM JUL 87 TO JUL 88	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. 1988/July/14	PAGE COUNT
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION		
	Continue on reverse if necessary and identify I	by block number)
	tensities, Broadening coeffici	ents,
Carbon Dioxid	de , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block ne	umber)	<u>,</u>
This report summarizes the results of	intensities and broadening coe	fficients
measurements performed on ${}^{12}C^{16}O_2$ lines in	the 13.5 µm region. The used s	pectra were
recorded at high resolution with a Fourier	transform spectrometer.	variation
From the intensities measurements it hat of the square of the transition dipole momen	$\frac{1}{2}$ with respect to the ro	tational
quantum numbers.	THE TESPECT TO THE TE	701,01101
quantum numbers.		
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT IN UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED IN SAME AS RPT. IN DTIC USERS	21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL OWEN R. COTE	22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c. OF (44 1) 409–4437	FICE SYMBOL LDG

This report has been reviewed by the EOARD Information Office and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS it will be releasable to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

OWEN R. COTE'

Chief, Geophysics and Space

en 12. Ceté

FRED T. GILLIAM, Lt. Col, USAF

Chief Scientist

SCIENTIFIC REPORT

Grant number: AFOSR-87-0296

INTENSITY MEASUREMENTS OF INFRARED CARBON DIOXIDE BANDS

Victor Dana

Laboratoire de Physique Moléculaire et Atmosphérique Tour 13 - Université Pierre et Marie Curie et CNRS 4, Place Jussieu - 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France



Accesi	n For		_]
NTIS CTIC Unumne Justific	TAB Durck 1		J
By District	14		
Λ	entiatra i	V Car	gg T
[]-5			;
A-1		:	

Prepared for: European Office of Aerospace Research en Development 223/231 Old Marylebone Road, London NW1 5TH, UK

I - INTRODUCTION

CO₂ is an atmospheric gas which plays an important role in the atmosphere and is often measured by remote sensing in the 13.5 μ m region. So it is necessary in order to perform reliable analysis of the atmospheric spectra to have accounted data on the positions, intensities and broadening coefficients of the lines arising in this region. The line positions are well known but some uncertainties remain on the intensities and broadening coefficients. The goal of this work is to improve these parameters for CO₂ lines in the region of 13.5 μ m. For that, we have developed in the present work a method which allows an accurate derivation of intensities and broadening coefficients from interferometer spectra. The performances of this method were checked in a preliminar work by studying the 11101 — 10002 band of ¹²C¹⁶O₂ [1]. Then, we have measured lines intensities of the following bands:

11101 ← 10002	centered	at	791.447	cm ⁻¹	[2]
11101 ← 02201	centered	at	741.724	cm^{-1}	
10001 01101	centered	at	720.805	cm-1	
20002 10002	centered	at	738.673	cm-1	[3]
12201 ← 03301	centered	at	757.479	cm-1	[3]

Besides, for each band we have deduced from the line intensities the square of the transition dipole moment and Herman Wallis coefficients.

In addition we have measured for lines belonging to the 11101 \leftarrow 10002 band the self, N₂- and O₂-broadening coefficients.

II - DESCRIPTION OF THE METHOD*

This method allows to derive simultaneously the intensity and the width of an isolated line through the adjustment of a calculated line shape to the experimental one.

The calculated line shape $G(\sigma)$ is obtained by convolving the true line shape $G_{_{1}}(\sigma)$ with the response function F of the interferometer.

 $\textbf{G}_{_{\boldsymbol{+}}}\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right)$ is given by the well known expression

$$G_t(\sigma) = I_o(\sigma) \exp[-K(\sigma, P)\ell]$$

^{*} This method is extensively described in Ref.[1]

where $K(\sigma,P)$ is the aborption coefficient; it depends on the intensity and on the line width. $K(\sigma,P)$ is well described by a Voigt profile. P is the gas pressure, ℓ is the path length in the absorbing gas. I (σ) is the beam intensity in absence of absorption.

The response function F of an interferometer is the Fourier transform of the filtering function $\mathcal{A}(D)$. Usually the filtering function used to calculate the response function is a "boxcar"; in this work we have choosen a filtering function which decreases in a parabolic way with the path difference D, in order to take into account the influence of the entrance iris of the interferometer (see Fig.1).

Finally for each line we compute a succession of values of the transmitted intensity I (n) separated by a constant interval do in wavenumber unit. The value of do is choosen equal to the interval separing two experimental data.

The best adjustment of the calculated line shape to the experimental one is obtained when the two following quantities are minimized simultaneously:

1. The quadratic differences ΔI

$$\Delta I = \sum_{n} (I_{t exp} - I_{t calc})^{2}$$

 $\Delta I = \sum_{n} (I_{t exp} - I_{t calc})^{2}$ 2. The differences between the areas of experimental and calculated line shape.

III - CHARACTERISTICS OF THE FOURIER TRANSFORM SPECTROMETER

The step by step Fourier transform spectrometer used in our work was built in our laboratory by L. Henry, A. Valentin and Ch. Nicolas.

The path difference of this interferometer can reach 22 meters which gives an apparatus function width of 5×10^{-4} cm⁻¹. This unevacuated interferometer covers the spectral range $3-19~\mu m$ within the atmospheric windows. The signal to noise ratio is about 200 around 10 \u03c4m using a mercury or copper doped germanium detector and 500 at 5 μm with an indium antimonaide detector. The absolute precision on the wavenumbers measurements is better than 2×10^{-4} cm⁻¹.

IV - SPECTRA ANALYSIS

The different spectra used in this work were recorded with a GeHg or GeCu detector with a cooled low pass interference filter. On these spectra the signal to noise ratio is close to 200. The gas pressure inside the cell was measured with an relative uncertainty better than 1%. The temperature was measured on the walls of the cell and we assume that a thermal equilibrium between the gas sample and the cell was established. The gas sample was 99.998% minimum purity ${\rm CO}_2$ purchased from Air Liquide.

One of the most difficult problem we had to solve was the elimination of fringes which arise from reflections on the opposite side of the beam splitter. For that we have used two procedures:

- 1. Empty cell spectra were recorded before and after the spectrum of the gas sample in order to eliminate these fringes by ratioing but it seems that this procedure introduces a systematic error of a few percents (4-6%) on the intensities values.
- 2. In the second method, for each line we determine by an adjustment the local fringes pattern which is then eliminated numerically. This method increases the internal coherence between the results obtained from different recorded spectra.

- V - LINES INTENSITY

The line intensity $\delta(J)$ is given by

$$S(J) = \frac{8\pi^3}{3hc} \cdot \frac{N_{\tau} \cdot I_a}{Q(T)} \sigma(J) \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{hc}{kT} \sigma(J)\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{hc}{kT} E(v,J)\right) \cdot (\mu)^2 \cdot L(J)$$

where N is the total number of molecules per cm3

Q(T) is the total internal partition function

I is the isotopic abundance (I =0.985)

 $\sigma(J)$ is the wavenumber of the transition (in cm⁻¹)

E(v,J) is the lower state energy of the transition (in cm⁻¹)

 $(\mu)^2$ is the square of the transition dipole moment

L(J) is the Hön-London factor.

Using this expression the $(\mu)^2$ values are deduced from the measured lines intensities. Assuming that the variation of $(\mu)^2$ with respect to the rotational quantum numbers is described by the following expansions

$$(\mu)^2 = (\mu_0)^2 \left(1 + 2\lambda_1 m + 2\lambda_2 J'(J'+1)\right) \qquad \text{in P and R branches}$$
 and
$$(\mu)^2 = (\mu_0)^2 \left(1 + 2\lambda_3 J'(J+1)\right) \qquad \qquad \text{in the Q branch}$$

We have, through a least squares procedure, derived for each band, the values of $(\mu_0^{})^2$, $\lambda_1^{}$, $\lambda_2^{}$ and $\lambda_3^{}$. It is important to remark that it is difficult to determine accurately the $\lambda_2^{}$ coefficient because the effect of the quadratic term $\lambda_2^{}$ J'(J'+1) is very weak.

1) Intensities

On the Tables concerning the lines intensities are listed the measured values. In addition are given, on these tables, the intensities calculated by using t's values of $(\mu_0^{})^2$, $\lambda_1^{}$, $\lambda_2^{}$ and $\lambda_3^{}$. In the last column are given the differences in percent.

On Table VI are given for each band the values of $(\mu_0^{})^2$, $\lambda_1^{}$, $\lambda_2^{}$ and $\lambda_3^{}$.

2) Broadening parameters

On Tables VII, VIII and IX are listed the values of self-, N $_2$ - and O $_2$ -broadening coefficients of lines belonging to the 11101 \longleftarrow 10002 band of $^{12}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}_2$; results proposed by other authors are given.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Dana and A. Valentin

 Determination of line parameters from FTS spectra.

 Appl. Cotics 27(21), 4450-4453 (1988).
- [2] V. Dana A. Valentin, A. Hamdouni and L.S. Rothman


 Line in sities and broadening parameters of the 11101 10002 band of

 12C16O2.

 Appl. Optics (in press)
- [3] A.Valenti. V. Dana and A. Hamdouni
 Absolute 1 tensities of lines in the 20002 ---- 11102 and 12201 ---- 03301
 bands of 12-1602.

 (to be published)

THE APPARATUS FUNCTION IS THE FOURIER TRANSFORM OF THE A(δ) FUNCTION



$$\begin{cases} A(\delta) = 1 & -\delta_{\mathsf{M}} < \delta, < \delta_{\mathsf{M}} \\ A(\delta) = 0 & \delta < \delta_{\mathsf{M}} & \mathsf{AND} & \delta > \delta_{\mathsf{M}} \end{cases} \implies \mathsf{THE} \ \mathsf{APPARATUS} \ \mathsf{FUNCTION} \ \mathsf{IS} \ \frac{\mathsf{SINX}_{\mathsf{M}}}{\mathsf{X}}$$

INTO ACCOUNT, THE A(δ) FUNCTION HAS A PARABOLIC SHAPE : THE FOURIER TRANS-WHEN THE EFFECT OF THE ENTRANCE DIAPHRAGM OF THE INTERFEROMETER IS TAKEN FORM OF A(δ) GIVES THE REAL APPARATUS FUNCTION.

Table I

Line	Measured intensities	Calculated intensities	0 - C (in %)
	(cm/mol×10 ²³)	(cm/mol×10 ²³)	
P26	0.839	0.844	-0.6
P24	0.982	0.951	+3.1
P22	1.055	1.048	+0.6
P18	1.156	1.180	-2.1
P16	1.166	1.199	-2.8
P14	1.160	1.178	-1.6
P12	1.109	1.114	-0.5
P10	1.016	1.002	+1.4
P 8	0.854	0.844	+1.1
P 6	0.638	0.642	-0.6
Q18	2.722	2.681	+1.5
Q20	2.514	2.548	-1.3
Q22	2.434	2.362	+3.0
Q24	2.163	2.136	+1.2
Q26	1.863	1.892	-1.5
Q28	1.649	1.637	+0.7
Q30	1.377	1.388	-0.8
Q32	1.148	1.155	-0.6
Q34	_ 0.956	0.940	+1.6
Q36	0.739	0.753	-1.9
R 4	0.842	0.832	+1.2
R 6	1.056	1.069	-1.3
R 8	1.293	1.270	+1.8
R10	1.427	1.424	+0.2
R12	1.542	1.528	+0.9
R14	1.573	1.584	-0.7
R16	1.556	1.591	-2.3
R18	1.519	1.553	-2.3
R20	1.470	1.480	-0.7
R22	1.373	1.375	-0.1
R24	1.225	1.249	-2.0
R26	1.139	1.111	+2.4
R28	1.004	0.969	+3.5
R30	0.844	0.828	+1.9
R32	0.680	0.694	-2.1
R34	0.564	0.572	-1.3

⁽a) In natural abundance.

Line intensities for the 11101 \leftarrow 10002 band of 12 C1602

Table II

		Obs	2010	Obs - Calc
Line	σ(3)	(10 ¹²³ cm ⁻¹ /	Calc	Obs (in per cent)
TIME	(cm-1)	molecule cm ⁻²)		
	713.3775	0.2044	0.2084	-2.0
P31	,	0.3924	0.3978	-1.4
P21	721.7730	0.4644	0.4407	+5.1
P17	725.0702	0.7892	0.7529	+4.6
Q24	737.4041	}	0.6770	-1.4
Q26	737.1900	0.6674	0.5177	+2.7
Q30	736.7128	0.5320	0.2428	-2.9
~ Q38	735.5673	0.2360	{	-7.1
R 5	743.3123	0.2014	0.2157	-0.1
ì	747.8656	0.3949	0.3953	1
R11	749.3647	0.4183	0.4267	-2.0
R13	j	0.4620	0.4421	+4.3
R15	750.8538	0.4230	0.4442	-5.0
R17	752.3335	0 3535	0.3455	+2.3
R25	758.1583	1	0.3072	+2.3
R27	759.5908		0.1896	1
R33	763.8339	0.1875		

The intensities for the 20002 \pm 11102 band of $^{12}\mathrm{C}^{16}\mathrm{O}_{2}$

:-

Table III

		Obs		Obs - Calc
Line	σ(J)	$(10^{\frac{1}{2}3} \text{ cm}^{-1})$	Calc	Obs
	(cm ⁻¹)	molecule cm ⁻²)		(in per cent)
P18	743.1033	0.2660	0.2541	+4.4
P15	745.5351	0.2852	0.2725	+4.4
P11	748.7559	0.2642	0.2744	-3.9
P 9	750.3567	0.2561	0.2660	-3.9
P 8	751.1550	0.2499	0.2590	-3.6
P 7	751.9508	0.2442	0.2505	-2.6
P 5	753.5384	0.2370	0.2309	+2.6
Q25	756.9438	0.3333	0.3308	+0.7
Q26	756.8944	0.3089	0.3121	-1.0
Q27	756.8574	0.2831	0.2932	-3.6
Q28	756.8029	0.2702	0.2735	-1.2
Q31	756.6663	0.2228	0.2162	+3.0
Q32	756.5990	0.1983	0.1980	-0.1
Q33	756.5618	0.1814	0.1804	+0.5
R14	769.0495	0.1687	0.1622	+3.8
R15	769.8066	0.1719	0.1698	+1.2
R16	770.5639	0.1759	0.1724	+2.0
R17	771.3168	0.1678	0.1749	-4.2

line intensities for the 12201 \pm 03301 band of 12 0 16 0 $_2$

TABLE IV

	Obs		
J		Calc	Obs - Calc
	molecule cm ⁻²		Obs (in per cent)
P25	4.480	4.316	+ 3.7
P24	4.433	4.588	- 3.5
1	4.758	4.862	- 2.2
P23		5.789	- 4.7
P19	5.530	i	- 4.5
P17	5.840	6.104	+ 0.5
P15	6.306	6.276	- 0.9
P13	6.219	6.215	+ 2.5
IP12	6.372	t	+ 7.2
P11	6.573	6.103	
P8	5.742	5.471	+ 4.7
033	4.793	4.747	+ 1.0
Q25)	8.679	- 0.3
R5	1.868	1.832	+ 1.9
R6	2,312	2.309	1 1
R7	2.827	2.762	!
R8	3.204	3.185	1 1
29	3.685	3.572	1
R10	i	3.915	}
R11	4.409	4.222	1
R12		4.479	1
P13		4.690	1 1
!R14		4.858	1
R15	1	4.976)
R16	i _	5.049	1 1
R17	1	5.085	1
R18	i	5.070	1
R20	_	4.941	1
R22	ļ	4.681	
R24	ł.	4.325	1
R25	ł	4.119	[
R29		3.220	Į.
R33	}	2.315	}
R34	1	2.100	1
R35	}	1.71	1
R36	1	1.538	1
R37		1.370	
R38	ř	ł	1
R39	l .	1.219	Į.
R40		1.07	Į.
R4:		.950	- 0.7
R4:		.830	
R4:	}	.726)
R4-	1	.629	ì
R4	1	.469	ì
R 4	. 4163	. 403	5 + 3.1

Line intensities for the 11101 \pm 02201 band of $^{12}\mathrm{C}^{16}\mathrm{O}_2$.

TABLE V

J	Obs (10 ⁺²¹ cm ⁻¹ / molecule cm ⁻²	Calc	Obs - Calc Obs (in per cent)
R63	.1098	.1035	+ 5.7
R61	-1593	.1600	- 4.4
R55	.5352	.5349	+ 0.0
R51	1.026	1.102	- 7.4
R 3	8.656	8.276	+ 4.4
R 1	2.700	2.794	~ 3.5
Q48	3.202	3.146	+ 1.7
Q46	4.649	4.956	~ 6.6
244	6.326	5.831	+ 7.8
Q42	7.843	7.741	+ 1.3
Q40	9.490	10.105	- 6.5
P37	6.447	6.398	+ 0.7

Line intensities to the 10001 + 01101 band of $^{12}0^{16}0_{2}$

7

.

BAND	(μ ₀) ²	A,	A ₂	er «
11101 + 10002	1.323 $10^{-3} \pm 7.1^{\frac{1}{8}}10^{-6}$ 1.0 $10^{-3} \pm 1.1^{-1}10^{-4}$ - 1.6 $10^{-5} \pm 6 \cdot 10^{-6}$ - 3.5 $10^{-5} \pm 4.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$	1.0 10 ⁻³ ± 1.1 10 ⁻⁴	- 1.6 10 ⁻⁵ ± 6 10 ⁻⁶	- 3.5 10 ⁻³ ± 4,5 10 ⁻⁶
20002 ← 11102	1.055 10-2 ± 1.3 10-4 3.44 10-4 ± 2.9 10 -4	3.44 10-4 ± 2.9 10 -4		$5.12 \ 10^{-5} \pm 1.2 \ 10^{-6}$
12201 + 03301	1.422 10-2 ± 1.4 10-4 1.07 10-3 ± 3.6 10-4	1.07 10 ⁻³ ± 3.6 10 ⁻⁴		1.33 10 ⁻⁹ ± 8.6 10 ⁻⁶
11101 ← 02201	1.456 10 ⁻² ± 1.0 10 ⁻⁴	9.01 ± 1.3 10-4		$2.35 \cdot 10^{-5} \pm 4.3 \cdot 10^{-6}$
10001 ← 01101	$1.425 \ 10^{-2} \pm 3.9 \ 10^{-4}$	1.17 ± 3.0 10-4		

Fransition moment constants (μ_0), Λ_1 , Λ_2 and Λ_3 for the studied \cos Bands.

Table VII

	Present work	Ref [5]	Ref [6]
m	11101 ← 10002	01111 ← 01101	(a)
	T=294.5 K	T=300 K	T=296 K
8	0.1050	0.1152	0.1104
10	0.1040	0.1078	0.1080
12	0.1010	0.1057	0.1054
13	0.1025	0.1026	0.1042
14	0.0993	0.1035	0.1031
15	0.1015	0.1041	0.1020
16	0.0973	0.1014	0.1010
17	0.0983	0.1003	0.1000
18	0.0963	0.0991	0.0990
21	0.0927	0.0983	0.0962
22	0.0935	0.0973	0.0953
23	0.0926		0.0944
25	0.0910	0.0970	0.0928
27	0.0905	0.0916	0.0910
29	0.0880	0.0893	0.0891
31	0.0865	0.0878	0.0871
33	0.0845	0.0886	0.0851
37	0.0795	0.0838	0.0813
39	0.0780		0.0797
41	0.0760	0.0818	0.0780

⁽a) : smoothed values from measurements on the 00011 \leftarrow 00001 and the 10011 \leftarrow 10002 bands

Table VIII

	Present work	Ref [5]	Ref [6]
m	11101 ← 10002	01111 ← 01101	(a)
, ,	T=294.8 K	T=300 K	T=296 K
5	0.0840	0.0860	0.0854
7	0.0820	0.0846	0.0830
8	0.0815	0.0804	
11	0.0790	0.0820	0.0795
12	0.0780	0.0786	
14	0.0765	0.0794	
15	0.0780	0.0773	0.0768
16	0.0725	0.0773	
17	0.0750	0.0765	0.0755
19	0.0740	0.0754	0.0744
21	0.0715	0.0741	0.0735
22	0.0700	0.0732	
23	0.0720	0.0732	0.0726
25	0.0705	0.0735	0.0718
27	0.0695	0.0726	0.0712
31	0.0700	0.0712	0.0701
35	0.0675	0.0694	0.0693
37	0.0670	0.0709	0.0689
39	0.0667	0.0679	0.0687

⁽a) : smoothed values from measurements on the 00011 \leftarrow 00001 and the 10011 \leftarrow 00001 bands

Table IX

m	Present work 11101 ← 10002 T=294.8 K	Ref [6] (a) T=296 K
5	0.0730	0.0750
6	0.0742	
8	0.0738	
10	0.0680	
11	0.0685	0.0690
12	0.0660	
1,3	0.0666	0.0675
14	0.0651	!
16	0.0637	!
17	0.0640	0.0649
18	0.0617	,
19	0.0622	0.0637
20	0.0613	
21	0.0616	0.0626
- 22	0.0595	
23	0.0606	0.0617
25	0.0577	0.0609
27	0.0565	0.0602

⁽a) : smoothed values from measurements on the 00011 \leftarrow 00001 and the 10011 \leftarrow 00001 bands

__